

Background

This study is part of a wider replication of the 'Lost in the Mall' experiment by Loftus and Pickrell (1995). This study provoked much debate in the area of false memory formation. Loftus and Pickrell were the first in false memory research to establish an experiment which attempted to implant a false memory for a childhood event that never happened in a young adult population. Many false memory studies focus on reporting the percentage of participants that formed a false memory, but very few follow-up with the participant and investigate this memory after debriefing.

Introduction

The effect of debriefing has been neglected in literature, despite the evidence of the persistence of false memories (Zhu et al., 2012). The amount of participants retaining a false memory, belief or non-believed memory after debriefing and at follow-up will be assessed. A secondary aspect will explore the difficulty of 'reversing' a 'sticky' false memory. Phenomenological features can offer insight into the depth of remembrance for events. These features will be analysed to examine if a predictive relationship lies between the effectiveness of debriefing and the remaining presence of a false memory/belief. This area is concerned with the persistence of false memories.

Aim 1: How effective was the debriefing? What are the false memory/belief and non-believed memory rates?

Aim 2: How can phenomenology of false memory predict the effectiveness of the debriefing process?

- Higher rates of phenomenology should lead to higher rates of false memories after debriefing

Assessing How Phenomenology Predicts the Debriefing Process in False Memory Formation

Katie Ryan, Assoc. Prof. Ciara Greene, & Dr. Gillian Murphy University College Dublin in conjunction with University College Cork

Methodology

through convenience This booklet was sent to the participant. Two Participants were recruited sampling. Experimenters were paired and swapped interviews conducted over Zoom/Microsoft Teams recruited participants. A survey was sent to the followed this booklet, at similar intervals. These participant to share with a parent. The participant's interviews explored the events in more detail, parent was known as the 'informant'. The informant attempting to implant the false memory. shared information from the participant's childhood, Phenomenological questions of each memory were asked during both interviews, and memory including three true memories. This information was used to create a booklet. This included four of the vs. belief was explored both before and after the participant's childhood events. Three of these events debriefing process. A follow-up survey was sent to were true, and a fourth was false; detailing the both informant and participant. participant being lost in a shop/shopping centre at the age of five.

References

- Loftus, E. F., & Pickrell, J. E. (1995). The formation of false memories. *Psychiatric Annals*.
- Zhu, B., Chen, C., Loftus, E. F., He, Q., Chen, C., Lei, X., Lin, C., & Dong, Q. (2012). Brief exposure to misinformation can lead to long-term false memories. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*.
- Johnson, M. K., Hashtroudi, S., & Lindsay, D. S. (1993). Source monitoring. *Psychological Bulletin*.





ABC Neuroscience Summer School School of Psychology, UCD 17th / 18th August 2021

False memory and false belief rates at three times and non-believed memory rates at two times were recorded. To examine how phenomenological features of false memory predict the effectiveness of the debriefing process, four binary logistic regression were performed. <u>Clarity of memory was the only significant predictor of</u> presence of a <u>false memory/belief</u> after debriefing



Conclusion

> Based on this research, there is confidence in the effectiveness of the debriefing process. False memory, false belief, and non-believed memory rates all reduced from T1 to T2 to T3.

 \succ The low rates of false memory before debriefing suggest that not many participants formed a particularly 'sticky' false memory. The clearer the false memory was for the participant, the more likely the they were to report a false memory after debriefing. > The reduction in false rates over time is most likely due to the participant reminiscing on the debriefing and checking external sources (informant) to validate the falsehood of the shopping event (Johnson et al., 1993).